

**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**

700 LOUISIANA  
SUITE 1600  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002  
  
(713) 546-5000  
FAX: (713) 224-9511

AUSTIN  
BOSTON  
BRUSSELS  
BUDAPEST  
DALLAS  
FRANKFURT  
LONDON  
MIAMI  
MUNICH  
NEW YORK  
PARIS  
PRAGUE  
PROVIDENCE  
SHANGHAI  
SILICON VALLEY  
SINGAPORE  
WARSAW  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

MELANIE GRAY  
DIRECT LINE (713) 546-5045  
E-MAIL: melanie.gray@weil.com

May 22, 2009

Judge Allan L. Gropper  
United States Bankruptcy Court  
Southern District of New York  
One Bowling Green  
New York, New York 10004-1408

Re: Adv. Pro. No. 09-01198; *Tronox Incorporated, et al. v. Anadarko Petroleum Corporation*; In the United States Bankruptcy Court,  
Southern District of New York

Dear Judge Gropper:

Defendants Anadarko Petroleum Corporation and Kerr-McGee Corporation (collectively "Defendants") were informed yesterday afternoon by counsel for the Tronox Debtors that the Court has set a scheduling conference ("Scheduling Conference") on May 28 at 11:00 a.m. to discuss an appropriate case management order for the above-referenced adversary proceeding. For the reasons stated below, Defendants request that the Court reschedule the Scheduling Conference for June 9, 2009.<sup>1</sup>

As the Court may be aware, just yesterday the United States Government filed a motion seeking to intervene (the "Intervention Motion") in the adversary proceeding to assert claims against both the Debtors and Defendants. This motion is scheduled for hearing on June 9, 2009. In light of the interrelationship between this requested intervention and the appropriate litigation schedule, Defendants request that the case management order be heard in connection with the Government's motion to intervene on June 9, 2009. Delaying the Scheduling Conference for two weeks will not unduly delay this litigation, but instead will allow the parties a further opportunity to attempt to consensually resolve – or at least narrow – the scheduling issues in advance of a Scheduling Conference.

Additionally, while the Defendants eagerly anticipate the opportunity to refute the allegations asserted by the Debtors, there are numerous reasons that the Debtors' proposed

<sup>1</sup> Defendants conferred with the counsel for the Debtors and were unable to reach agreement to consensually move the Scheduling Conference to June 9, 2009.

**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**

Judge Allan L. Gropper  
May 22, 2009  
Page 2

schedule of essentially six months to litigate and try a complex dispute involving hundreds of millions of dollars, plus punitive damages, is not realistic. Anadarko highlights just a few of the reasons why the proposed six month schedule is unworkable:

- The adversary proceeding, which includes allegations of actual fraudulent intent and conspiracy, involves a multitude of transactions spanning over a ten year period. The Debtors are essentially putting over a decade of the Debtors' and Kerr McGee's operations and business judgment on trial. Discovery from the individuals, companies, and third-party professionals involved in these transactions will be extensive.
- Discovery will be complicated further by the lengthy time period covered by the allegations and the reality that the vast majority of witnesses are no longer employed or under the control of either the Debtors or Defendants.
- This case involves complex valuations, not only of Tronox's assets and operations, but also of the alleged "Legacy Liabilities," and will require substantial expert discovery and testimony.

To accommodate the amount of work necessary to prepare this case for trial, Defendants submit an alternative proposed schedule for this Court's consideration in advance of the Scheduling Conference. This proposed schedule is premised on the expectation that the Debtors will have control over the adversary proceeding without Defendants effectively having to litigate against a host of other parties (for example, the United States, Creditors' Committee, Equity Committee and/or DIP Lenders) who may seek to intervene or otherwise actively participate in the adversary proceeding for the purpose of litigating their alleged claim priorities in any recovery that may be awarded in the proceeding.

Finally, Defendants believe one approach to manage the liability phase of this adversary proceeding that avoids unnecessary litigation and costs is to bifurcate certain underlying allegations/elements (such as insolvency and reasonable equivalency of values exchanged) of the Debtors' Complaint. Litigating these issues upfront could enhance a potential consensual resolution prior to a full trial and, if that is not possible, a litigation and full trial on the remaining elements/allegations subsequently could be had.

We appreciate the Court's consideration of this matter.

Respectfully,

Melanie Gray

Enclosure

**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**

Judge Allan L. Gropper  
May 22, 2009  
Page 3

cc: (w/enclosure)

*Via Email: jzeiger@kirkland.com*

Mr. Jeffrey J. Zeiger  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
200 E. Randolph Drive  
Chicago, IL 60601-6636

*Via Email: rkravitz@paulweiss.com*

Robert N. Kravitz  
Paul, Weis, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrsion LLP  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019-6064

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Richard M. Cieri

Jonathan S. Henes

Colin M. Adams

153 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street

New York, New York 10022-4611

Telephone: (212) 446-4800

Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Additional Counsel Listed On Signature Block

*Attorneys for Tronox Incorporated, Tronox  
Worldwide LLC, and Tronox LLC*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

TRONOX INCORPORATED, *et al.*,

Debtors.

TRONOX INCORPORATED, TRONOX  
WORLDWIDE LLC f/k/a Kerr-McGee  
Chemical Worldwide LLC, and TRONOX  
LLC f/k/a Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ANADARKO PETROLEUM  
CORPORATION and KERR-MCGEE  
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

) Chapter 11

) Case No. 09-10156 (ALG)

) Jointly Administered

) Adversary Proceeding No. 09-01198

**PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

The above-styled and numbered adversary proceeding shall be governed by the following  
Case Management Order:

1. Response to Complaint

Response to Plaintiffs' Adversary Complaint shall be due on or before July 24, 2009.

2. Electronic Discovery

The parties shall meet and confer regarding electronic discovery issues on or before August 1, 2009.

---

3. Joinder of Additional Parties

Additional parties may be added to this adversary proceeding no later than 45 days prior to the close of fact discovery.

4. Amendment of Pleadings

Pleadings may be amended no later than 30 days prior to the close of fact discovery.

5. Fact Discovery

All fact discovery shall be completed by June 1, 2010.

6. Expert Discovery

- (a) Reports from Plaintiffs' expert(s) retained under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) shall be produced on or before June 18, 2010.
- (b) Reports from Defendants' expert(s) retained under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) shall be produced on or before July 19, 2010.
- (c) Plaintiffs shall produce any rebuttal expert reports pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 on or before July 30, 2010.
- (d) Depositions of all parties' experts and rebuttal experts shall be completed by October 1, 2010.

7. Dispositive Motions

- (a) All potentially dispositive motions shall be filed on or before January 9, 2011.
- (b) All opposition papers shall be due and filed on or before 30 days after the filing of any potentially dispositive motion.

- (c) Replies to any response to any dispositive motions shall be due and filed on or before 20 days after the filing of any response to any potential dispositive motion.

8. Witness and Exhibit Lists

- (a) Witness lists, separately identifying each witness that the parties intend to call or may call as a witness, other than as a rebuttal witness, shall be exchanged 45 days before the start of trial.
- (b) Exhibits, other than those used for rebuttal purposes, and deposition designations shall be exchanged on or before 30 days before the start of trial. Any deposition counter designations and objections to exhibits shall be exchanged on or before 15 days before the start of trial.

9. Trial

Trial shall commence on April 4, 2011, or as soon thereafter as is practical.

10. Other Items

The parties' agreement to this schedule shall not be construed as a waiver of any right or privilege under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Court's Local Rules, or any other applicable state or federal law, including any right to move to compel or preclude discovery.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2009

Ordered:

\_\_\_\_\_  
United States Bankruptcy Judge